



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P03021		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See Form PCT/PEA/416
International application No. PCT/NO2004/000181		International filing date (day/month/year) 18.06.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 10.07.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01V1/38				
Applicant NORSK HYDRO ASA et al.				
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input type="checkbox"/> sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>				
<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</p>				
Date of submission of the demand  03.02.2005		Date of completion of this report  18.10.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80290 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Authorized Officer  Juárez Colera, M  Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2482 		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.  
PCT/NO2004/000181

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-28
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-28
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-28
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

**see separate sheet**

---

**Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application**

---

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

**see separate sheet**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. .  
PCT/NO2004/000181

---

**Box No. I Basis of the report**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
  - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
  - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements\*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:

**Description, Pages**

1-15 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-28 as originally filed

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/8-8/8 as originally filed

- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages
  - ☐ the claims, Nos.
  - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
  - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify)*:
  - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify)*:
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages
  - ☐ the claims, Nos.
  - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
  - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify)*:
  - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify)*:

\* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

- 1 Reference is made to the following document.  
D1: US-A-4 617 518
- 2 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (abstract and Fig. 1) an electromagnetic streamer provided with transmitter electrodes and several pairs of receiver electrodes.
- 3 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known system in that it combines electromagnetic and seismic sensors for sensing electromagnetic and seismic waves emitted by corresponding electromagnetic and seismic sources.
- 4 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 5 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as improving the accuracy in reservoir mapping and monitoring.
- 6 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) because it is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.
- 7 Claims 2-28 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

**Re Item VIII**

**Certain observations on the international application**

- 1 The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 5, 12, 18 and 24 are not clear. The reasons therefor are the following:
  - 1.1 The term "associated with" as used in claim 1 is too broad therefore resulting in that

the claim is not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as its scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings.

- 1.2 The term "generally", used in claims 1, 5 and 18, has no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear.
- 1.3 Some of the features in the apparatus claims 12 and 24 relate to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from these claims, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 1.4 The expression "each receiver cable section arranged *in line with*" is not clear and thus it renders uncertain the definition of the matter for which protection is sought contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2 According to rule 6.4c dependent claims referring back to a single previous claim shall be grouped together in the most practical way possible, which is not the case of claims 20-28.